+-----+ | LOCAL COMMISSIONERS MEMORANDUM | +-----+ DSS-4037EL (Rev. 9/89) Transmittal No: 93 LCM-85 Date: July 16, 1993 Division: Management Support and Quality Improvement Local District Commissioners

SUBJECT: Claiming of Administrative Costs Associated with Security Measures and DeAllaume v. Perales

TO:

ATTACHMENTS: Instructions for Reporting Security Costs Security Worksheet I For Costs Assigned to Overhead Security Worksheet II For Costs Assigned to Specific Functions; Attachment I - Costs of Security Measures Attachment II - DeAllaume Cost (Available On-Line)

As indicated during State Fiscal Year 93-94 Executive Budget presentations, the cost of local district security measures initially incurred from 10/15/92 to 12/31/93 and those costs attributable to implementing the DeAllaume v. Perales retroactive payment process will be exempt from the 93-94 cap on State administrative reimbursement. The purpose of this LCM is to provide a mechanism for local districts to report these costs, since such costs are not separately identifiable on reimbursement claim forms.

All security costs incurred, for the first time, from 10/15/92 to 12/31/93 are eligible for consideration for exemption, including those purchased or incurred through a cooperative agreement. Local districts with new security costs which will exceed \$100,000 (gross) for this time period must submit an expenditure plan for prior approval prior to consideration for exemption. Expenditure plans should provide sufficient detail to allow for review by State staff and should be submitted to the Bureau of Local Financial Operations (Attn: Roland Levie). Local districts may report security costs on Attachment I for these claims (commencing on 10/15/92). These costs can be reported quarterly or yearly. When these worksheets are received, the approved dollar amounts will be deducted from the expenditures applied to the administrative cap. If a local district opts not to utilize this procedure, security costs may be included in the plan for areas exempt from the administrative cap.

Trans. No. 93 LCM-85

Expenditures related to the DeAllaume v. Perales retroactive payment process (93-ADM-5) are also exempt from the State cap on administration. Attachment II should be used to report these costs. Similar to TASA (89-LCM-210) and Security Costs, DeAllaume administrative expenditures may be submitted quarterly or yearly for exclusion from those expenditures applied toward the cap on administration. These costs may be included in a district's plan for exemption to the cap, if the procedure detailed herein is not utilized. Neither TASA, Security Measures nor DeAllaume administrative expenditures may be included in both options.

Local districts have the option of reporting these costs on a quarterly or yearly basis. ALL WORKSHEETS MUST BE SUBMITTED NO LATER THAN FEBRUARY 18, 1994. NO EXTENSIONS WILL BE GRANTED. This deadline is required in order to permit sufficient time to complete the State Fiscal Year 93-94 closeout. If this submission date is not met, local districts may still include these costs in their plans for exemption to the State cap on administration. The completed worksheets should be returned to the following address:

> Bureau of Local Financial Operations Office of Financial Management - 8th Floor NYS Department of Social Services 40 North Pearl Street Albany, NY 12243

Any questions concerning this LCM should be directed to Roland Levie (Upstate) at 1-800-342-3715, extension 4-7549 or Marvin Gold (Metropolitan) at (212) 383-1733.

William Gould Director of Field Operations

John M. Sweeney Assistant Commissioner Office of Financial Management Trans. No. 93 LCM-85

LOCAL DISTRICT INSTRUCTIONS FOR REPORTING SECURITY COSTS

Local districts may incur new costs for security measures by hiring staff, purchasing equipment to enhance security, or contracting with a private agency or another governmental unit. For claiming purposes, the costs may be directly charged to specific functions, placed into an overhead category and then distributed to functions, or included as part of the Maintenance In-Lieu-of Rent costs (for capital improvements). The attached worksheets should be used to calculate the State share of security costs. The worksheets that apply depend upon the claiming methodology used by the district.

Results from the worksheet(s) should be summarized and carried forwarded to Attachment I. Attachment I must be signed and submitted <u>along with the</u> <u>worksheets</u> for the costs to be exempted from the cap. Districts may submit the attachment and worksheets quarterly or wait until after the end of the calendar year. Please be reminded that only newly incurred security costs (those incurred for the <u>first</u> time from 10/15/92 to 12/31/93) may be presented for exclusion from the cap. Security costs existing prior to 10/15/92 are considered part of the base year expenditures and are <u>not</u> eligible for exclusion.

Trans. No. 93 LCM-85

Page No. 4

Security Worksheet I - For security costs assigned to overall or administrative overhead (F20 or F40)

Accumulate the costs for the quarter and/or entire time period related to security - Note: one or more of the following may apply:

Salaries Fringe Benefits (FB% applied)	
Non Salary Costs Directly	
Attributable to Security	
Contractual Costs (private	
or governmental)	
Overhead Costs (Salary or	
Non-Salary)	
- based on percent of security	
Staff divided by total	
F20 or F40 staff x overhead	
amount on Schedule D <u>after</u>	
subtracting security costs	
listed above	
TOTAL	\$

Total Cost are then distributed to functions - Determine the costs attributable to the administrative cap functions.

Total Security Costs x Function % x State share % as follows:

TOTAL STATE SHARE

Trans. No. 93 LCM-85

Security Worksheet II - for either salary and/or non-salary costs directly identified to specific functions

		Fringe		*		
	Salary	Benefits		Overhead	TOTAL	
F-1						
F-4						
F-7						
F-10						

* Salary and non-salary (based on percent of security staff divided by total staff in function x net overhead allocated to functions on Schedule D).

Net OH assigned to direct function on Schedule D is the total OH assigned to direct function less security OH identified to function on Worksheet I (i.e. Worksheet I total costs x function %'s taken from line 9 or 10, cols. of the Schedule D.)

To determine <u>State share</u> of security costs assigned to functions, calculate as follows:

(Total F-1 amount above) x ____% (from Schedule D-1 line 12 col 1 ÷ line 9 col 1) =

(Total F-4 amount above) x ____% (from Schedule D-4 line 11 col 1 ÷ line 1 col 1) =

(Total F-7 amount above) x ____% (from Schedule D-7 line 11 col 1 ÷ line 8 col 1) =

(Total F-10 amount above) x ____% (from Schedule D-10 line 9 col 1 ÷ line 6 col 1) = _____

TOTAL STATE SHARE

Trans. No. 93 LCM-85

Page No. 6

ATTACHMENT I

\$

COSTS OF SECURITY MEASURES

Costs Assigned to DSS Administrative Overhead

Directly Identified Salary, Fringe Benefits, and/or Non-Salary Costs

TOTAL STATE SHARE SECURITY COSTS

Submitted by:

Name

Title

Date:

Trans. No. 93 LCM-85

Page No. 7

ATTACHMENT II

DeAllaume v. Perales

Salary and fringe benefits attributable to DeAllaume effort

Non-salary costs directly identified

Overhead (salary & non-salary) based on percent of FTE staff for DeAllaume divided by total F-1 staff x overhead allocated to F-1 on Schedule D

Total costs DeAllaume

State Share

Costs above _____ x __% from Schedule D-1 (line 12 col 1 ÷ line 9 col 1) =

Submitted by:

Name

Title

Date: