



NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE
40 NORTH PEARL STREET
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12243-0001

David A. Paterson
Governor

Local Commissioners Memorandum

Section 1

Transmittal:	10-LCM-01
To:	Local District Commissioners
Issuing Division/Office:	Center for Child Well Being (CCWB) Division of Child Support Enforcement (DCSE)
Date:	February 17, 2010
Subject:	Child Support Incentives—Federal Fiscal Year 2008 (FFY2008)
Contact Person(s):	Kevin Dommer, (800) 343-8859, extension 49081 or (518) 474-9081 or via email at Kevin.Dommer@otda.state.ny.us
Attachments:	Attachment 1 Adjustment of Actual to Reported Incentives—FFY 2008 Attachment 2 Estimated Incentives—FFY 2010
Attachments Available Online:	Yes

Section 2

I. Purpose

The purpose of this Local Commissioners Memorandum is to provide information about the method for allocating local district child support incentives and provide you with the reconciliation of incentives allocated to incentives claimed for federal fiscal year (FFY) 2008.

II. Background

Beginning with FFY 2000, federal child support regulations at 45 CFR 305, “Performance Measures, Standards, Financial Incentives and Penalties”, significantly changed the method for allocating incentive payments to states. These changes were phased in over FFY 2000 (October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2000) and FFY 2001 (October 1, 2000 through September 30, 2001). States’ ability to earn federal incentives is based entirely upon a formula that takes into consideration several factors including:

- States’ performances in the five key child support areas of paternity establishment, support order establishment, current collection percentage, percentage of cases with arrears

collections and cost effectiveness. Percentages in each of the five areas are applied against a collection figure (determined by doubling the current and former assistance collections and adding never assistance collections), which is then summed to determine a state's collection base. States receive incentives based upon their respective percentage of the national collection base, as applied against the total "capped" amount of incentives available to all states.

- States' ability to earn incentives are limited or "capped" at the federal level, which has the potential for reducing a state's individual allocation when compared to the previous incentive methodology.
- States are subject to data reliability audits of their reported performance measures. Failure to meet the data reliability audit criteria for one or more performance measure has the potential for significantly reducing a state's individual allocation when compared to other states. In addition, failure to meet the data reliability audit criteria in a specific category for two consecutive years can result in a reduction of the TANF block grant.
- States are required to reinvest an amount equal to the amount of incentive funds received. States must expend this amount to supplement, and not supplant, other funds used by states to carry out IV-D program activities or other approved activities that may contribute to improving the effectiveness or efficiency of a state's IV-D program. This reinvestment requirement also applies to political subdivisions, i.e., local social service districts. In meeting the reinvestment requirement, those entities receiving incentives must expend an amount of funds equal to its incentive amount received over and above a base expenditure level (FFY 1998 state and/or local expenditures or the average of FFYs 1996-1998 state and/or local share expenditures).

III. Program Implications

ACTUAL INCENTIVE CALCULATION

The Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA) has been notified that the actual IV-D child support incentives earned by New York State from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Child Support Enforcement, for FFY 2008 was \$26,517,978.

Of the \$26,517,978 statewide incentives received for FFY 2008, OTDA will allocate \$15,586,302 to local districts. Attachment #1, column 6 contains the adjusted incentive amounts for FFY 2008, which is the difference between estimated incentives reported on Schedule A-1 and actual incentives allocated. These amounts will be processed on an upcoming settlement for each district. **Please do not submit a supplemental claim for these adjustments.**

ESTIMATED INCENTIVE CALCULATION

Attachment 2 is a schedule of estimated incentives for the remainder of FFY 2010. The county specific allocation is based upon actual FFY 2009 collection figures. Since final incentive figures for FFY 2009 are not expected from federal OCSE until late calendar year 2010, we are continuing to provide a conservative claiming figure (\$10 million in the aggregate) to avoid the potential for future negative adjustments. **Local districts should report the monthly**

estimated incentives consistent with Attachment 2. These amounts should be reported each month beginning with the next original claim after this LCM is issued. In accordance with the Schedule A-1 instructions found in the Fiscal Reference Manual, Volume 2, Chapter 3, local districts must enter the estimated monthly incentive amount in column 2 “Current Assistance” of the Schedule A-1. This amount will automatically be entered as the column 1 “Total.”

As the result of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA 2005), no federal funds were to be paid to a state for amounts expended from incentives payments made to the state effective October 1, 2007. From October 2007 through September 2008, states identified expenditures made with incentive funds as Federally Non-participating (FNP). The fiscal impact of this action was that states lost federal reimbursement equal to 66% of their incentive funds. For this period, districts reported on *Line 16 of Schedule D-8 (Expenditures funded with Title IV-D Incentives)* the amount of incentives that were reported on Schedule A-1 for the corresponding month.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) temporarily restored FFP to those levels prior to the DRA 2005 incentive provisions. This change is in effect for any incentive funds expended between October 1, 2008 and September 30, 2010. As of the original April 2009 claim, districts should not enter any amounts on line 16. The result is that districts will receive 66% Federal reimbursement for all their Federally reimbursable administrative expenditures. A separate LCM is forthcoming which will detail the resulting settlement changes.

Although the incentive allocation to each local district is based on collections, attaining and maintaining high standards for each of the five federal performance measures is extremely important to ensure that New York State:

- Provides full services to its entire child support caseload
- Maintains a consistent level of incentive revenue
- Does not incur a federal program penalty for poor performance
- Maximizes the statewide incentive amount, thereby maximizing the amount available for local districts

Child Support program questions should be directed to Kevin Dommer, at (800) 343-8859, extension 49081 or (518) 474-9081. His e-mail address is Kevin.Dommer@otda.state.ny.us.

OTDA claiming questions should be directed to:

Regions I-IV

Edward Conway at (518) 474-7549. His e-mail address is Edward.Conway@otda.state.ny.us.

Region V

Michael Borenstein at (212) 961-8251. His e-mail address is Michael.Borenstein@otda.state.ny.us.

Region VI

Marian Borenstein at (212) 961-8250. Her e-mail address is Marian.Borenstein@otda.state.ny.us.

Issued By:

Name: Scott E. Cade

Title: Deputy Commissioner and Director

Division/Office: Child Support Enforcement

ADJUSTMENT OF ACTUAL TO REPORTED INCENTIVES -- FFY 2008

1	2	3	4	5	6
DISTRICT	Total Collections FFY 2008	District Percent of Total	Actual Incentives Allocated (col. 3 x \$15,586,302)	FFY 2008 Total Reported Incentives	Adjustment Actual to Reported (col. 4 - col. 5)
NEW YORK STATE	\$1,701,088,829	100.00%	\$15,586,302	\$10,013,248	\$5,573,054
NEW YORK CITY	\$657,902,786	38.68%	\$6,028,064	\$3,788,562	\$2,239,502
REST OF STATE	\$1,043,186,043	61.32%	\$9,558,238	\$6,224,686	\$3,333,552
ALBANY	\$30,230,208	1.78%	\$276,986	\$179,980	\$97,006
ALLEGANY	\$6,898,509	0.41%	\$63,208	\$39,135	\$24,073
BROOME	\$18,371,030	1.08%	\$168,325	\$110,621	\$57,704
CATTARAUGUS	\$11,083,132	0.65%	\$101,550	\$68,257	\$33,293
CAYUGA	\$10,146,385	0.60%	\$92,967	\$61,374	\$31,593
CHAUTAUQUA	\$15,982,614	0.94%	\$146,441	\$95,340	\$51,101
CHEMUNG	\$10,154,069	0.60%	\$93,037	\$62,599	\$30,438
CHENANGO	\$5,494,971	0.32%	\$50,348	\$35,661	\$14,687
CLINTON	\$9,433,053	0.55%	\$86,431	\$56,268	\$30,163
COLUMBIA	\$5,905,308	0.35%	\$54,108	\$38,107	\$16,001
CORTLAND	\$5,430,128	0.32%	\$49,754	\$32,489	\$17,265
DELAWARE	\$4,870,411	0.29%	\$44,625	\$28,866	\$15,759
DUTCHESS	\$31,016,565	1.82%	\$284,191	\$183,471	\$100,720
ERIE	\$73,628,237	4.33%	\$674,622	\$429,430	\$245,192
ESSEX	\$3,865,185	0.23%	\$35,415	\$27,170	\$8,245
FRANKLIN	\$6,338,263	0.37%	\$58,075	\$37,527	\$20,548
FULTON	\$6,823,763	0.40%	\$62,523	\$41,732	\$20,791
GENESEE	\$6,238,634	0.37%	\$57,162	\$37,830	\$19,332
GREENE	\$5,242,897	0.31%	\$48,038	\$32,190	\$15,848
HAMILTON	\$427,248	0.03%	\$3,915	\$2,758	\$1,157
HERKIMER	\$7,153,970	0.42%	\$65,549	\$44,796	\$20,753
JEFFERSON	\$14,241,623	0.84%	\$130,490	\$84,600	\$45,890
LEWIS	\$3,815,597	0.22%	\$34,961	\$20,772	\$14,189
LIVINGSTON	\$7,760,226	0.46%	\$71,103	\$35,308	\$35,795
MADISON	\$6,458,446	0.38%	\$59,176	\$38,112	\$21,064
MONROE	\$69,074,105	4.06%	\$632,895	\$414,518	\$218,377
MONTGOMERY	\$6,092,598	0.36%	\$55,824	\$36,148	\$19,676
NASSAU	\$97,665,122	5.74%	\$894,861	\$577,482	\$317,379
NIAGARA	\$19,121,370	1.12%	\$175,200	\$128,863	\$46,337
ONEIDA	\$16,779,478	0.99%	\$153,743	\$106,092	\$47,651
ONONDAGA	\$47,476,420	2.79%	\$435,005	\$282,073	\$152,932
ONTARIO	\$11,755,447	0.69%	\$107,710	\$70,442	\$37,268
ORANGE	\$35,684,804	2.10%	\$326,964	\$214,006	\$112,958
ORLEANS	\$4,673,414	0.27%	\$42,820	\$27,719	\$15,101
OSWEGO	\$15,053,909	0.88%	\$137,932	\$90,068	\$47,864
OTSEGO	\$5,516,143	0.32%	\$50,542	\$34,688	\$15,854
PUTNAM	\$7,605,577	0.45%	\$69,686	\$44,083	\$25,603
RENSSELAER	\$19,275,592	1.13%	\$176,613	\$113,605	\$63,008
ROCKLAND	\$27,464,853	1.61%	\$251,648	\$164,987	\$86,661
ST.LAWRENCE	\$12,899,700	0.76%	\$118,194	\$76,349	\$41,845
SARATOGA	\$19,947,715	1.17%	\$182,772	\$120,860	\$61,912
SCHENECTADY	\$16,818,690	0.99%	\$154,102	\$99,912	\$54,190
SCHOHARIE	\$3,986,525	0.23%	\$36,527	\$26,208	\$10,319
SCHUYLER	\$2,117,751	0.12%	\$19,404	\$12,344	\$7,060
SENECA	\$4,476,389	0.26%	\$41,015	\$26,952	\$14,063
STEUBEN	\$11,252,488	0.66%	\$103,101	\$65,943	\$37,158
SUFFOLK	\$139,162,809	8.18%	\$1,275,085	\$817,073	\$458,012
SULLIVAN	\$8,059,960	0.47%	\$73,850	\$46,856	\$26,994
TIOGA	\$5,513,663	0.32%	\$50,519	\$31,682	\$18,837
TOMPKINS	\$8,005,668	0.47%	\$73,352	\$48,801	\$24,551
ULSTER	\$17,880,370	1.05%	\$163,830	\$109,654	\$54,176
WARREN	\$9,325,264	0.55%	\$85,443	\$56,931	\$28,512
WASHINGTON	\$8,027,118	0.47%	\$73,549	\$49,368	\$24,181
WAYNE	\$12,098,069	0.71%	\$110,849	\$73,673	\$37,176
WESTCHESTER	\$66,591,505	3.91%	\$610,148	\$392,207	\$217,941
WYOMING	\$4,384,370	0.26%	\$40,172	\$26,244	\$13,928
YATES	\$2,388,686	0.14%	\$21,886	\$14,462	\$7,424

10-LCM-01 Attachment 2
Estimated Incentives - FFY 2010

1	2	3	4	5
DISTRICT	Total Collections FFY 2009	District Percent of Total	Estimated Incentives through 9/30/10 (col 3 X \$10M)	Estimated Monthly Incentives (col 4 / 12)
NEW YORK STATE	\$1,719,721,805	100.00%	\$10,000,000	\$833,333
NEW YORK CITY	\$671,725,931	39.06%	\$3,906,015	\$325,501
REST OF STATE	\$1,047,995,874	60.94%	\$6,093,985	\$507,832
ALBANY	\$30,569,842	1.78%	\$177,760	\$14,813
ALLEGANY	\$6,604,330	0.38%	\$38,403	\$3,200
BROOME	\$18,021,859	1.05%	\$104,795	\$8,733
CATTARAUGUS	\$11,024,568	0.64%	\$64,107	\$5,342
CAYUGA	\$9,832,638	0.57%	\$57,176	\$4,765
CHAUTAUQUA	\$15,184,793	0.88%	\$88,298	\$7,358
CHEMUNG	\$9,920,665	0.58%	\$57,688	\$4,807
CHENANGO	\$5,417,255	0.32%	\$31,501	\$2,625
CLINTON	\$9,342,427	0.54%	\$54,325	\$4,527
COLUMBIA	\$5,751,541	0.33%	\$33,445	\$2,787
CORTLAND	\$5,591,643	0.33%	\$32,515	\$2,710
DELAWARE	\$4,715,313	0.27%	\$27,419	\$2,285
DUTCHESS	\$30,954,169	1.80%	\$179,995	\$15,000
ERIE	\$74,371,059	4.32%	\$432,460	\$36,038
ESSEX	\$3,871,497	0.23%	\$22,512	\$1,876
FRANKLIN	\$6,302,677	0.37%	\$36,649	\$3,054
FULTON	\$6,834,830	0.40%	\$39,744	\$3,312
GENESEE	\$6,169,919	0.36%	\$35,877	\$2,990
GREENE	\$5,093,628	0.30%	\$29,619	\$2,468
HAMILTON	\$430,036	0.03%	\$2,501	\$208
HERKIMER	\$7,053,863	0.41%	\$41,017	\$3,418
JEFFERSON	\$14,364,944	0.84%	\$83,531	\$6,961
LEWIS	\$3,749,991	0.22%	\$21,806	\$1,817
LIVINGSTON	\$7,541,518	0.44%	\$43,853	\$3,654
MADISON	\$6,369,411	0.37%	\$37,037	\$3,086
MONROE	\$68,989,311	4.01%	\$401,166	\$33,430
MONTGOMERY	\$6,101,030	0.35%	\$35,477	\$2,956
NASSAU	\$99,333,883	5.78%	\$577,616	\$48,135
NIAGARA	\$19,014,137	1.11%	\$110,565	\$9,214
ONEIDA	\$17,223,111	1.00%	\$100,151	\$8,346
ONONDAGA	\$47,143,056	2.74%	\$274,132	\$22,844
ONTARIO	\$11,801,447	0.69%	\$68,624	\$5,719
ORANGE	\$36,358,857	2.11%	\$211,423	\$17,619
ORLEANS	\$4,667,148	0.27%	\$27,139	\$2,262
OSWEGO	\$14,601,751	0.85%	\$84,908	\$7,076
OTSEGO	\$5,303,398	0.31%	\$30,839	\$2,570
PUTNAM	\$7,617,796	0.44%	\$44,297	\$3,691
RENSSELAER	\$19,365,042	1.13%	\$112,606	\$9,384
ROCKLAND	\$27,376,296	1.59%	\$159,190	\$13,266
ST.LAWRENCE	\$13,271,141	0.77%	\$77,170	\$6,431
SARATOGA	\$20,062,535	1.17%	\$116,662	\$9,722
SCHENECTADY	\$16,775,923	0.98%	\$97,550	\$8,129
SCHOHARIE	\$4,046,397	0.24%	\$23,529	\$1,961
SCHUYLER	\$2,074,073	0.12%	\$12,061	\$1,005
SENECA	\$4,411,177	0.26%	\$25,651	\$2,138
STEUBEN	\$11,131,162	0.65%	\$64,727	\$5,394
SUFFOLK	\$142,925,397	8.31%	\$831,096	\$69,258
SULLIVAN	\$8,376,103	0.49%	\$48,706	\$4,059
TIOGA	\$5,286,631	0.31%	\$30,741	\$2,562
TOMPKINS	\$7,650,302	0.44%	\$44,486	\$3,707
ULSTER	\$18,436,588	1.07%	\$107,207	\$8,934
WARREN	\$9,339,377	0.54%	\$54,307	\$4,526
WASHINGTON	\$8,028,795	0.47%	\$46,687	\$3,891
WAYNE	\$11,936,140	0.69%	\$69,407	\$5,784
WESTCHESTER	\$67,378,271	3.92%	\$391,798	\$32,650
WYOMING	\$4,539,032	0.26%	\$26,394	\$2,199
YATES	\$2,346,150	0.14%	\$13,643	\$1,137