

David A. Paterson Governor

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF CHILDREN & FAMILY SERVICES 52 WASHINGTON STREET RENSSELAER, NY 12144

Gladys Carrión, Esq. Commissioner

Local Commissioners Memorandum

Transmittal:	09-OCFS-LCM-01			
To:	Local District Commissioners			
Issuing	Strategic Planning and Policy Development			
Division/Office:	Administration			
Date:	April 23, 2009			
Subject:	SFY 2009-10 Foster Care Block Grant Allocations			
Suggested	Accounting Supervisors			
Distribution:	Directors of Social Services			
Contact	See page 7			
Person(s):				
Attachments:	A. Allocation of \$436,002,000			
Attachment	Yes (as attachment to this LCM):			
Available Online:	http://ocfs.state.nyenet/policies/external/#LCM			

I. Purpose

The purpose of this Local Commissioners Memorandum (LCM) is to provide social services districts with their Foster Care Block Grant allocations for State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2009-10 and the corresponding program implications as authorized by Chapter 53 of the Laws of 2009.

II. Background

Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2009 extended the Child Welfare Financing Law to June 30, 2012. The Child Welfare Financing Law promotes a reduction in the use of foster care placements through three components:

1. A Foster Care Block Grant capping state reimbursement to social services districts for foster care services and administration at the annual amounts appropriated.

2. Uncapped state reimbursement after applying available federal funds for all child welfare services except for Community Optional Preventive Services (COPS), foster care services, and administration.

3. A Quality Enhancement Fund administered by the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) to increase the availability and quality of children and family services programs.

The SFY 2009-10 state appropriation for the Foster Care Block Grant is \$436,002,000. This includes funding to support the continuation of the required Maximum State Aid Rates (MSAR) for congregate care programs and the applicable administrative/services rates for therapeutic, special needs, and emergency foster home programs. Section III in this LCM provides additional details on the MSAR payment requirements and the Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA). The appropriation also includes funding for the cost of continuing the Worker Recruitment and Retention Add-On to the Maximum State Aid Rates (MSARs) for programs located in New York State set by OCFS in December 2002, and such growth factors continue to be reflected in the MSAR cost parameters. Of the \$436,002,000, a major portion of the Block Grant, or \$399,552,000 is allocated based on historical claims; \$36,450,000 is set aside for districts that have reduced their use of foster care days. The SFY 2009-10 Foster Care Block Grant allocation also includes the second of three annual \$9,166,970 adjustments. Section III in this LCM provides additional details on these adjustments.

III. Program Implications

The Foster Care Block Grant includes state reimbursement for foster care services as follows:

- Care and maintenance, including clothing and special payments; supervision; administrative costs claimed on Schedule D, Schedule D-2, and Schedule K; including all tuition for foster children placed in Office of Mental Health (OMH)-licensed residential treatment facilities, as well as in OCFS-licensed child care institutions.
- Supervision of foster care children in federally funded job corps programs.
- Care, maintenance, supervision and tuition of adjudicated juvenile delinquents or persons in need of supervision placed in residential programs operated by authorized agencies and in out-of-state residential programs.

The Foster Care Block Grant does <u>not</u> include federal reimbursement for foster care costs or state reimbursement for the following claims:

- Committee on Special Education (CSE) payments.
- Dormitory Authority payments in excess of the Foster Care Block Grant (for more information see Fiscal Reference Manual Volume 2 Chapter 4).
- State reimbursement for foster care services for Indian tribes.
- Medical Assistance payments for children in foster care.
- Independent Living Services (except for those districts that have funds left over from their Foster Care Block Grant allocations for SFY 2008-09 – See V. Fiscal Claiming below).

Separate appropriations are available for these expenditures.

The Block Grant contains only those state funds that are available for reimbursement of a district's expenditures for the services included in the Foster Care Block Grant. District claims that are eligible to receive federal reimbursement under Title IV-E foster care, Independent Living, and TANF-EAF [up to the amount of the district's Flexible Fund for Family Services (FFFS) allocation that it has indicated that it wants to use for TANF EAF JD/PINS and/or TANF-EAF NYC Tuition – See Section IV below] will be submitted for federal reimbursement even if the district's claims exceed its Foster Care Block Grant allocation for the current SFY.

As previously stated in 03-OCFS-LCM-06 and 05-OCFS-LCM-04, beginning with services provided on or after July 1, 2004, the Worker Recruitment and Retention Add-On Rate is no longer claimed and paid separately. Rather, the growth factors associated with such Add-On Rates are included in MSAR cost parameters. Each local district's Foster Care Block Grant allocation reflects the cost of those Add-On Rates.

Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2005 amended Section 398-a of the Social Services Law to add a new subdivision 2-a that prohibits social services districts from paying less than the MSARs established by OCFS. As of July 1, 2006, local social services districts are now required to pay 100 percent of the applicable MSAR established by the OCFS for congregate care programs and for each administrative/services rate for therapeutic, special needs, or emergency foster home programs operated by a voluntary agency. The exception to the requirement is regular foster boarding home programs and pass-through payments to foster parents. In addition, districts that were paying at or above the MSARs for applicable programs as of January 1, 2005, are required to continue to pay at least 100 percent of the MSAR in the 2008-09 MSAR rate cycle, as well as for all subsequent rate cycles.

The Foster Care Block Grant does not include state expenditures made on behalf of youth placed in OCFS operated facilities.

This Block Grant can be used only for foster care expenditures during SFY 2009-10. If a local social services district claims less than its allocation, unexpended funds may be used by the district in the next SFY, up to the amount remaining from the district's Foster Care Block Grant allocation, for the district's expenditures on preventive services (including reunification services), independent living services, and aftercare services.

Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA)

Chapter 58 of the Laws of 2009 amended Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2008 by suspending the COLA that would have been implemented April 1, 2009. These COLAs are scheduled to resume on April 1, 2010, and be extended for a third year into SFY 2012-13. The SFY 2009-10 Foster Care Block Grant continues to support the mandated 3.2 percent COLA that was effective April 1, 2008.

Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2008 amended Part C of Chapter 57 of the Laws of 2006 that provided a three-year COLA based on the Consumer Price Index, and instead mandated a 3.2 percent COLA effective April 1, 2008. This COLA affected all in-state programs for which OCFS establishes MSARs, including Committee on Special Education (CSE) maintenance rates. The COLA was applicable to MSARs for foster parent and adoption subsidy payments. Local districts were required to pay the increase even for those rates that were exempt from the MSAR minimum payment requirement as specified in Section 398-a (2-a) of Social Services Law and must continue to pay the COLA. In addition, local districts were required by statute to pay no less than the established rates for specified programs. Local districts and voluntary agencies were required to increase their payment schedule by 3.2 percent effective April 1, 2008. This affected all administrative/services rates applicable to regular foster boarding home programs; for all pass-through rates applicable to foster parent payments and adoption subsides; and for replacement clothing allowances paid to foster parents.

Allocation Methodology

The methodology used to allocate the Foster Care Block Grant funding is designed to continue the focus on safety, well-being, and permanency for children. It is intended to encourage districts to continue to intensify their efforts to reduce foster care days.

As in the past four State Fiscal Years (SFY) (SFY 2005-06, SFY 2006-07, SFY 2007-08 and SFY 2008-09), the allocation methodology continues the claims-based approach and the \$36,450,000 set aside incentive to local districts, and the second of three required \$9,166,970 adjustments is applied.

Of the \$436,002,000 appropriation for state reimbursement for foster care services in SFY 2009-10, \$399,552,000 is distributed to districts according to their respective shares of historical claims. In accordance with budget appropriation language, the percentage of funds allocated to each district reflects the district's share of the statewide gross foster care claims (originals and supplemental) for the 12-month period ending June 30, 2008, that were submitted to the state on or before January 2, 2009.

The allocation of the \$36,450,000 set-aside is based on the district's reduction in the utilization of foster care days during two periods: from FFY 2004 to FFY 2006 (\$9,112,500) and from FFY 2006 to FFY 2008 (\$27,337,500). Reductions in care days during the more recent period are weighted more heavily (75 percent) than reductions during the earlier period (25 percent). This methodology recognizes the accomplishments of those districts that have decreased their foster care utilization over the past five years, while providing a more generous incentive to those districts that have achieved more recent reductions in care days.

The \$9,166,970 adjustment is in response to a court ruling regarding the Foster Care Block Grant allocations for SFY 2003-04 and SFY 2004-05. In 2003, the City of New York commenced an Article 78 proceeding challenging the allocation methodology of the Foster Care Block Grant for SFY 2003-04. In 2004, the City of New York brought a separate Article 78 proceeding challenging the allocation methodology of the Foster Care Block Grant for SFY 2004-05 on the

basis that the allocation was contrary to law, arbitrary and capricious, and an abuse of discretion because it undercounted historical claims for foster care. The petition requested that OCFS be ordered to allocate the SFY 2004-05 Foster Care Block Grant funds based on all historical claims submitted for the relevant period. In its ruling on the initial case, the court found that OCFS's use of the population based model to allocate a portion of the Foster Care Block Grant funds was arbitrary and not rationally related to the legislative goal of reducing foster care use. The court separately concluded that OCFS's method of allocating another portion of the Foster Care Block Grant funds based on historical claims submitted by a date determined by OCFS undercounted claims and, therefore, was irrational and contrary to the law. The court ordered the state to reallocate the SFY 2003-04 Foster Care Block Grant for all social services districts without the population factors, and to use the historical claims submitted within 22 months (the federal time frame).

In late 2007, the Solicitor General's Office refused to appeal the court's decision regarding the 2003-04 Foster Care Block Grant allocation methodology. Therefore, to implement the court's decision, the state will reallocate both the SFY 2003-04 and SFY 2004-05 Foster Care Block Grant amounts. New York City has agreed to allow the adjustments to be made over a three-year period. The \$9,166,970 adjustment to the districts' SFY 2009-10 Foster Care Block Grant allocations is the second of three annual adjustments. Similar adjustments will be made to the SFY 2010-11 allocations.

District allocations for each component of the SFY 2009-10 Foster Care Block Grant are provided in Attachment A.

IV. Other Related SFY 2009-10 Budget Actions

Flexible Fund for Family Services (FFFS) - The SFY 2009-10 Enacted Budget appropriates \$964,600,000 of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) funding for FFFS. The FFFS provides districts with the flexibility to determine local funding priorities. Districts can use their FFFS allocation to fund the TANF Emergency Assistance to Families (EAF) Juvenile Delinquents (JD) / Persons In Need of Supervision (PINS), and TANF-EAF NYC Tuition costs, as well as any other eligible TANF purpose. Districts will receive separate guidance on the process for indicating the portion of their FFFS allocations that they choose to use to reimburse expenditures associated with TANF-EAF JD/PINS and TANF-EAF NYC Tuition.

Prior to the establishment of FFFS in SFY 2005-06, 50 percent of Federal TANF-EAF funds were used to offset the Foster Care Block Grant. In SFY 2009-10, no offset is taken against the Foster Care Block Grant appropriation of \$436,002,000 Therefore, the full \$436,002,000 in funding is available to districts under the Foster Care Block Grant, as well as the amounts the districts opt to use out of their FFFS allocations to cover these associated expenditures. The EAF foster care swap will continue with TANF funds that are separate from these FFFS allocations.

V. Fiscal and Claiming

The Foster Care Block Grant is an annual capped allocation for foster care costs that are ordinarily reimbursed during the SFY. The Foster Care Block Grant payments are generally made on a monthly basis, and there is no state share settlement of the claims filed for state reimbursement. The reimbursement schedule below sets forth the relevant periods for the foster care maintenance, administration and tuition costs covered by this year's allocations. The scheduled time periods relate to when expenditures are paid. If a social services district exceeds its allocation for a particular SFY, there is no additional funding. Any social services district claims submitted for a particular SFY that do not receive state reimbursement during that SFY may not be claimed against that district's Block Grant apportionment for the subsequent SFY.

There is no Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement associated with the Foster Care Block Grant.

Supplemental claims are paid from the Foster Care Block Grant allocation that covers the period when the expenditures are paid by the district for the services or administrative costs, as it pertains to the allowable claiming period.

Federal reimbursement will not be affected by this Block Grant and will be settled in the normal manner subject to the availability of federal funds. Expenditures for the allocations included in this LCM are claimed as follows:

Foster Care	Schedule K	LDSS - 3479
	Schedule H	LDSS - 4283
	Schedule D-2	LDSS - 2347-B
	Reimbursement Claim for Special Project Form	LDSS - 3922

Please refer to the Fiscal Reference Manual, Volume 2, Chapter 3 for instructions on completing Schedules K and H, and the Reimbursement Claim for Special Claims Form. The Schedules D and D-2 claiming instructions are in the Fiscal Reference Manual, Volume 3 (or Volume 4 for New York City). The Fiscal Reference Manual is accessible to local districts and sister agencies at the following intranet site address: http://otda.state.nyenet/bfdm/#.

Unclaimed Foster Care Block Grant funds from SFY 2009-10 that an eligible district wishes to use during SFY 2010-11 for eligible preventive, independent living, and aftercare services must be reported on the Reimbursement Claim for Special Projects, "(LDSS – 3922) with Saving FCBG 09-10 indicated in the project name box." Costs should be reported in the non-Administrative column for the following time period, October 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010. The reported expenditures are eligible for 100 percent State reimbursement up to the amount of the unclaimed Foster Care Block Grant funds from SFY 2009-10. Any such claims submitted by a social services district for which there are insufficient unclaimed funds available from the district's SFY 2009-10 Foster Care Block Grant allocations are subject to 98 percent of 65 percent state reimbursement net of any available federal funds.

The reimbursement schedule for SFY 2009-10 Foster Care Block Grant is as follows:

- Foster Care Maintenance Claims: January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009
- Foster Care Administration Claims: October 1, 2008, through September 30, 2009
- Foster Care Tuition EAF Claims: October 1, 2008, through September 30, 2009
- Non-EAF Foster Care Tuition Claims: January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009

Special Project Claims Form Claims: January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009

EAF Foster Care Maintenance, Administration and Tuition other than TANF EAF JD/PINS and TANF EAF NYC Tuition costs are only counted toward the Foster Care Block Grant if the costs are not eligible to be swapped for Public Assistance reimbursement.

Contact Information

Any fiscal questions regarding the Foster Care Block Grant should be directed to:

Deborah Hanor, Director, Bureau of Budget Management at (518) 474-1361.

Andrea Sweda, Assistant Director, Bureau of Budget Management at (518) 402-3324.

Programmatic questions should be directed to the appropriate Regional Office, Division of Child Welfare and Community Services:

BRO - Mary Miller (716) 847-3145

E-mail – Mary Miller@ocfs.state.ny.us

RRO - Linda Kurtz (585) 238-8201

E-mail – <u>Linda.Kurtz@ocfs.state.ny.us</u>

SRO - Jack Klump (315) 423-1200

E-mail – Jack.Klump@ocfs.state.ny.us

ARO – Kerri Barber, Acting Director (518) 486-7078

E-mail – Kerri.Barber@ocfs.state.ny.us

SVRO - Pat Sheehy (914) 377-2080

E-mail – Patricia. Sheehy@ocfs.state.ny.us

NYCRO Patricia Beresford (212) 383-1788

E-mail – Patricia.Beresford@ocfs.state.ny.us

Questions pertaining to the FFFS Plan and Fiscal Claiming may be directed to the Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance:

FFFS Plan:

Jane Wagner, (518) 402-3599 Susanne Haag, (518) 486-6291

Fiscal Claiming:

Regions I-IV: James Carroll 1-800-343-8859, ext. 4-7549 or (518) 474-7549

E-mail – <u>James.Carroll@otda.state.ny.us</u>

Region V: Michael Borenstein (631) 854-9704

E-mail – Michael.Borenstein@otda.state.ny.us

Region VI: Marian Borenstein (212) 961-8250

E-mail – Marian.Borenstein@otda.state.nv.us

/s/ Nancy W. Martinez

Issued By:

Name: Nancy W. Martinez

Title: Director

Division/Office: Strategic Planning and Policy Development

/s/ Thomas S. Tipple

Issued By:

Name: Thomas S. Tipple

Title: Deputy Commissioner Division/Office: Administration

Attachment A

SFY 2009-10 Foster Care Block Grant Allocations

District	Allocation of \$399,552,000	Allocation of the \$9,112,500 Set-Aside	Allocation of the \$27,337,500 Set-Aside	Prior Year Adjustment (Year 2)	Final Allocation
Albany	5,798,278	137,209	430,257	(183,928)	6,181,816
Allegany	1,419,176	-	-	(22,444)	1,396,732
Broome	5,221,586	36,625	-	(41,269)	5,216,942
Cattaraugus	1,314,890	71,396	606,966	(115,617)	1,877,635
Cayuga	1,067,371	16,623	80,525	(95,188)	1,069,331
Chautauqua	1,689,226	-	477,390	(93,081)	2,073,535
Chemung	2,393,709	12,210	-	(109,754)	2,296,165
Chenango	689,573	-	59,338	(45,794)	703,117
Clinton	1,381,981	33,208	-	(85,441)	1,329,748
Columbia	2,840,679	22,156	400,704	(77,508)	3,186,031
Cortland	1,171,247	-	95,340	(29,076)	1,237,511
Delaware	625,578	-	223,841	(80,018)	769,401
Dutchess	4,789,105	65,015	-	(32,859)	4,821,261
Erie	13,729,188	336,088	3,694,840	(732,816)	17,027,300
Essex	451,162	-	204,957	(79,689)	576,430
Franklin	812,927	-	842,475	(9,875)	1,645,527
Fulton	1,277,755	12,767	-	(152,580)	1,137,942
Genesee	657,356	18,828	89,659	(20,318)	745,525
Greene	2,208,230	-	-	(7,622)	2,200,608
Hamilton	17,511	760	11,284	(586)	28,969
Herkimer	1,754,154	12,349	118,369	(34,701)	1,850,171
Jefferson	1,503,201	45,131	119,213	(198,759)	1,468,786
Lewis	286,900	-	-	(23,204)	263,696
Livingston	1,193,826	27,701	-	(78,644)	1,142,883
Madison	1,031,881	40,950	-	-	1,072,831
Monroe	13,422,767	-	6,477,043	(755,315)	19,144,495
Montgomery	1,060,334	-	98,487	(173,356)	985,465
Nassau	10,531,957	43,176	-	(872,663)	9,702,470
Niagara	2,417,581	64,896	789,739	(332,523)	2,939,693
Oneida	6,185,954	65,982	-	(331,130)	5,920,806
Onondaga	7,345,867	2,559	1,775,071	(566,587)	8,556,910

SFY 2009-10 Foster Care Block Grant Allocations

Orange 5,816,359 72,650 65,402 (381,083) 5,57 Orleans 319,697 - 520,454 (68,146) 77 Oswego 3,323,732 - - (44,988) 3,27 Otsego 786,810 32,621 98,641 (51,895) 86 Putnam 736,185 - - (24,212) 71 Rensselaer 3,446,326 - 1,362,085 (291,309) 4,51 Rockland 2,609,765 126,262 513,852 (207,122) 3,04 St. Lawrence 1,317,862 - 459,504 (55,642) 1,72 Saratoga 1,932,685 33,335 278,650 (73,505) 2,17 Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 3	8,248 3,328 2,005 8,744 6,177 1,973 7,102 2,757
Orange 5,816,359 72,650 65,402 (381,083) 5,57 Orleans 319,697 - 520,454 (68,146) 77 Oswego 3,323,732 - - (44,988) 3,27 Otsego 786,810 32,621 98,641 (51,895) 86 Putnam 736,185 - - (24,212) 71 Rensselaer 3,446,326 - 1,362,085 (291,309) 4,51 Rockland 2,609,765 126,262 513,852 (207,122) 3,04 St. Lawrence 1,317,862 - 459,504 (55,642) 1,72 Saratoga 1,932,685 33,335 278,650 (73,505) 2,17 Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 3	3,328 2,005 8,744 6,177 1,973 7,102 2,757
Orleans 319,697 - 520,454 (68,146) 77 Oswego 3,323,732 - - (44,988) 3,27 Otsego 786,810 32,621 98,641 (51,895) 86 Putnam 736,185 - - (24,212) 71 Rensselaer 3,446,326 - 1,362,085 (291,309) 4,51 Rockland 2,609,765 126,262 513,852 (207,122) 3,04 St. Lawrence 1,317,862 - 459,504 (55,642) 1,72 Saratoga 1,932,685 33,335 278,650 (73,505) 2,17 Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789	2,005 8,744 6,177 1,973 7,102 2,757
Oswego 3,323,732 - - (44,988) 3,27 Otsego 786,810 32,621 98,641 (51,895) 86 Putnam 736,185 - - - (24,212) 71 Rensselaer 3,446,326 - 1,362,085 (291,309) 4,51 Rockland 2,609,765 126,262 513,852 (207,122) 3,04 St. Lawrence 1,317,862 - 459,504 (55,642) 1,72 Saratoga 1,932,685 33,335 278,650 (73,505) 2,17 Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 <t< td=""><td>8,744 6,177 1,973 7,102 2,757</td></t<>	8,744 6,177 1,973 7,102 2,757
Otsego 786,810 32,621 98,641 (51,895) 86 Putnam 736,185 - - - (24,212) 71 Rensselaer 3,446,326 - 1,362,085 (291,309) 4,51 Rockland 2,609,765 126,262 513,852 (207,122) 3,04 St. Lawrence 1,317,862 - 459,504 (55,642) 1,72 Saratoga 1,932,685 33,335 278,650 (73,505) 2,17 Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sulivan 1,174,65	6,177 1,973 7,102 2,757
Putnam 736,185 - - (24,212) 71 Rensselaer 3,446,326 - 1,362,085 (291,309) 4,51 Rockland 2,609,765 126,262 513,852 (207,122) 3,04 St. Lawrence 1,317,862 - 459,504 (55,642) 1,72 Saratoga 1,932,685 33,335 278,650 (73,505) 2,17 Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Toga 623,456 5,0	1,973 7,102 2,757
Rensselaer 3,446,326 - 1,362,085 (291,309) 4,51 Rockland 2,609,765 126,262 513,852 (207,122) 3,04 St. Lawrence 1,317,862 - 459,504 (55,642) 1,72 Saratoga 1,932,685 33,335 278,650 (73,505) 2,17 Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328	7,102 2,757
Rockland 2,609,765 126,262 513,852 (207,122) 3,04 St. Lawrence 1,317,862 - 459,504 (55,642) 1,72 Saratoga 1,932,685 33,335 278,650 (73,505) 2,17 Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 -<	
St. Lawrence 1,317,862 - 459,504 (55,642) 1,72 Saratoga 1,932,685 33,335 278,650 (73,505) 2,17 Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	1 724
Schenectady 5,910,452 - - (102,499) 5,80 Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	., r
Schoharie 663,945 11,931 - (20,886) 65 Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	1,165
Schuyler 259,837 6,010 - (125,620) 14 Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	7,953
Seneca 602,121 - 39,149 (68,164) 57 Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	4,990
Steuben 1,701,896 48,286 789,432 (60,744) 2,47 Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	0,227
Suffolk 14,166,789 153,013 4,679,864 (948,284) 18,05 Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	3,106
Sullivan 1,174,659 - 75,919 (181,757) 1,06 Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	8,870
Tioga 623,456 5,017 - (55,651) 57 Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	1,382
Tompkins 1,260,328 17,029 - (46,408) 1,23 Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	8,821
Ulster 2,846,239 - 400,780 (47,425) 3,19 Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	2,822
Warren 1,275,689 - 786,669 (80,266) 1,98	0,949
	9,594
Washington 607 020 (50 022) 62	2,092
vvasiiiigioti 091,930 (09,022) 03	8,908
Wayne 1,127,866 - 446,915 (75,621) 1,49	9,160
Westchester 20,401,155 - (595,333) 19,80	5,822
Wyoming 642,193 8,970 99,485 (45,373) 70	5,275
Yates 426,423 23,119 - (24,999) 42	4,543
Upstate Totals 171,383,067 1,603,872 27,337,500 (9,166,970) 191,15	7,469
New York City 228,168,933 7,508,628 - 9,166,970 244,84	4,531
Statewide Totals 399,552,000 9,112,500 27,337,500 - 436,00	