

George E. Pataki Governor

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE 40 NORTH PEARL STREET ALBANY, NY 12243-0001

Robert Doar Commissioner

Local Commissioners Memorandum

Section 1

Transmittal:	04-LCM-15				
To:	Local District Commissioners				
Issuing Division/Office:	Division of Child Support Enforcement				
Date:	December 31, 2004				
Subject:	Child Support IncentivesFederal Fiscal Year 2003 (FFY 2003)				
Contact	Kevin J. Boyle (518) 474-0766				
Person(s):	Kevin.Boyle@dfa.state.ny.us				
Attachments:	Attachment #1 Adjustment of Actual to Reported IncentivesFFY 2003				
	Attachment #2 Estimated IncentivesFFY 2005				
Attachment Av Line					

Section 2

I. Purpose

The purpose of this Local Commissioners Memorandum is to provide additional information about the method for allocating local district child support incentives and provide you with the reconciliation of incentives allocated to incentives claimed for federal fiscal year (FFY) 2003.

II. Background

Beginning with FFY 2000, federal child support regulations at 45 CFR 305, Performance Measures, Standards, Financial Incentives and Penalties, significantly changed the method for allocating incentive payments to states. These changes were phased in over FFY 2000 (October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2000) and FFY 2001 (October 1, 2000 through September 30, 2001). During this two-year period, federal incentives were allocated to states using a combination of the old and the new incentive methodologies. Effective with FFY 2002, states' ability to earn federal incentives are based entirely upon the new federal method, a complex formula that takes into consideration several factors including:

• States' performances in the five key child support areas of paternity establishment, support order establishment, current collection percentage, percentage of cases with arrears collections and cost benefit ratio. Percentages in each of the five areas are applied against a collection figure (determined by doubling the current and former assistance collections and adding never assistance collections), which is then summed to determine a state's collection base. States receive incentives based upon their respective percentage of the national collection base as applied against the total "capped" amount of incentives available to all states.

- States' ability to earn incentives are now limited or "capped" at the federal level, which has the
 potential for reducing a state's individual allocation when compared to the previous incentive
 methodology.
- States are now subject to data reliability audits of their reported performance measures.
 Failure to meet the data reliability audit criteria for one or more performance measure has the potential for significantly reducing a state's individual allocation when compared to other states.
 In addition, failure to meet the data reliability audit criteria in a specific category for two years in a row can result in a reduction of the TANF block grant.
- States are now required to reinvest an amount equal to the amount of incentive funds received. States must expend this amount to supplement, and not supplant, other funds used by states to carry out IV-D program activities or other approved activities that may contribute to improving the effectiveness or efficiency of a state's IV-D program. This reinvestment requirement also applies to political subdivisions, i.e., local social service districts. In meeting the reinvestment requirement, those entities receiving incentives must expend an amount of funds equal to its incentive amount received over and above a base expenditure level (FFY 1998 state and/or local expenditures or the average of FFYs' 1996-1998 state and/or local share expenditures).

Prior to these changes, incentives were distributed to states using a relatively predictable, cost benefit methodology that facilitated estimating incentives. This allowed local district planners to be reasonably confident about the estimated incentives claimed each month on the "Summary of Title IV-D Collections and Distributions" (Schedule A-1). Given the complex formula and inherent uncertainties of the new federal allocation methodology, there is insufficient information to accurately estimate the amount of incentives that New York State will receive. We published an allocation methodology in November 2000 that was based entirely on a local district's percentage of statewide collections. The estimated claiming amounts were intended to be conservative to ensure that local districts did not over-claim estimated incentives, which could potentially result in a negative adjustment.

III. Program Implications

ACTUAL INCENTIVE CALCULATION

The Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA) has been notified that the actual IV-D child support incentives earned by New York State from the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Child Support Enforcement, for FFY 2003 was \$30,829,027.

Of the \$30,829,027 statewide incentives received for FFY 2003, OTDA will allocate \$18,700,000 to local districts. Attachment #1, column 6, contains the adjusted incentive amounts for FFY 2003, which is the difference between estimated incentives reported on Schedule A-1 and actual incentives allocated. These amounts will be processed on an upcoming settlement for each district. Please do not submit a supplemental claim for these adjustments.

ESTIMATED INCENTIVE CALCULATION

Attachment #2 is a schedule of estimated incentives for the remainder of FFY 2005. The county specific allocation is based upon actual FFY 2004 collection figures. Since, final incentive figures for FFY 2004 are not expected from federal OCSE for another year, we are providing a conservative claiming figure (\$10 million in the aggregate) to avoid the potential for future negative adjustments. Local districts should report the new monthly estimated incentives beginning with any claim submitted on or after January 15, 2005. Until schedule A -1 is modified to accept only a total figure,

local districts can enter any amounts in the TANF and Non TANF fields on line 16 of Schedule A -1, provided that the total amount does not exceed the monthly claiming amount in column 5 of attachment # 2. These amounts should be reported each month through September 2005.

Although the incentive allocation to each local district is based on collections, attaining and maintaining high standards for each of the five federal performance measures is extremely important to ensure that New York State:

- Provides full services to its entire child support caseload.
- Maintains a consistent level of incentive revenue.
- Does not incur a federal program penalty for poor performance.
- Maximizes the statewide incentive amount, thereby maximizing the amount available for local districts.

DCSE program questions should be directed to Kevin Boyle, at (800) 343-8859, extension 40766 or (518) 474-0766. His e-mail address is Kevin.Boyle@dfa.state.ny.us.

OTDA fiscal questions should be directed to:

Regions I-IV

Virginia Scala (518) 474-7549. Her e-mail address is Virginia.Scala@dfa.state.ny.us.

Region V

Michael Borenstein (631) 854-9704. His e-mail address is Michael.Borenstein@dfa.state.ny.us.

Region VI

Marian Borenstein (212) 961-8250. Her e-mail address is Marian.Borenstein@dfa.state.ny.us.

Issued By:

Name: Margot Bean

Title: Deputy Commissioner and Director **Division/Office:** Child Support Enforcement

3

04 LCM 15 Attachment #1 ADJUSTMENT OF ACTUAL TO REPORTED INCENTIVES -- FFY 2003

1	2	3	4 Actual	5	6 Adjustment
	Total	District	Incentives	Total	Actual to
	Collections	Percentage	Allocated	Reported	Reported
District	FFY 2003	to Total	(col. 3 x \$18.7M)	Incentives	(col. 4 - col. 5)
New York State	\$1,367,594,743	100.00%	\$18,700,000	\$12,380,728	\$6,319,272
New York City	\$503,477,813	36.81%	\$6,884,375	\$5,088,839	\$1,795,536
Rest of State	\$864,116,930	63.19%	\$11,815,625	\$7,291,889	\$4,523,736
Albany	24,871,470	1.82%	\$340,084	195,240	\$144,844
Allegany	5,517,479	0.40%	\$75,444	53,331	\$22,113
Broome Cattaraugus	16,006,136	1.17%	\$218,862	136,876	\$81,986 \$45,754
Cattaraugus Cayuga	9,420,195 8,386,454	0.69% 0.61%	\$128,808 \$114,673	83,054 70,365	\$45,754 \$44,308
Chautauqua	14,272,778	1.04%	\$195,161	128,235	\$66,926
Chemung	9,617,431	0.70%	\$131,505	82,903	\$48,602
Chenango	5,416,567	0.40%	\$74,064	47,508	\$26,556
Clinton	8,216,292	0.60%	\$112,347	68,647	\$43,700
Columbia	5,362,154	0.39%	\$73,320	44,530	\$28,790
Cortland	5,021,677	0.37%	\$68,665	46,833	\$21,832
Delaware	4,423,686	0.32%	\$60,488	37,814	\$22,674
Dutchess	24,882,121	1.82%	\$340,229	208,148	\$132,081
Erie Essex	60,936,240	4.46% 0.25%	\$833,220 \$47,416	534,024 30,066	\$299,196 \$17,350
Franklin	3,467,689 5,733,475	0.42%	\$47,416 \$78,397	50,195	\$17,350 \$28,202
Fulton	6,055,632	0.44%	\$82,803	52,748	\$30,055
Genesee	5,503,068	0.40%	\$75,247	46,737	\$28,510
Greene	4,931,675	0.36%	\$67,434	36,919	\$30,515
Hamilton	493,655	0.04%	\$6,750	5,729	\$1,021
Herkimer	6,620,300	0.48%	\$90,524	58,497	\$32,027
Jefferson	12,037,339	0.88%	\$164,594	101,908	\$62,686
Lewis	2,746,589	0.20%	\$37,556	23,582	\$13,974
Livingston Madison	6,618,291 5,445,036	0.48% 0.40%	\$90,496 \$74,453	5,911 48,886	\$84,585 \$25,567
Monroe	58,923,019	4.31%	\$805,692	503,326	\$302,366
Montgomery	5,313,511	0.39%	\$72,655	45,012	\$27,643
Nassau	75,172,247	5.50%	\$1,027,878	609,016	\$418,862
Niagara	18,177,145	1.33%	\$248,548	172,464	\$76,084
Oneida	14,922,879	1.09%	\$204,050	113,846	\$90,204
Onondaga	40,523,630	2.96%	\$554,106	346,136	\$207,970
Ontario	10,238,525	0.75%	\$139,998	84,435	\$55,563
Orange Orleans	29,815,641 3,932,836	2.18% 0.29%	\$407,688 \$53,776	245,931 32,525	\$161,757 \$21,251
Oswego	12,978,778	0.95%	\$177,467	114,323	\$63,144
Otsego	4,940,797	0.36%	\$67,559	43,397	\$24,162
Putnam	6,582,437	0.48%	\$90,006	55,777	\$34,229
Rensselaer	15,169,843	1.11%	\$207,427	157,501	\$49,926
Rockland	20,525,180	1.50%	\$280,654	96,312	\$184,342
St.Lawrence	10,796,239	0.79%	\$147,624	93,438	\$54,186
Saratoga	16,708,862	1.22%	\$228,471	142,566	\$85,905
Schenectady Scheneric	13,865,216	1.01%	\$189,588 \$47,217	105,247	\$84,341 \$19,679
Schoharie Schuyler	3,453,137 1,599,650	0.25% 0.12%	\$21,873	28,539 17,436	\$18,678 \$4,437
Seneca	3,766,496	0.28%	\$51,502	31,016	\$20,486
Steuben	9,268,985	0.68%	\$126,741	77,208	\$49,533
Suffolk	109,893,270	8.04%	\$1,502,641	896,392	\$606,249
Sullivan	6,219,306	0.45%	\$85,041	51,146	\$33,895
Tioga	4,498,401	0.33%	\$61,510	41,745	\$19,765
Tompkins	6,737,512	0.49%	\$92,126	59,976	\$32,150
Ulster	14,414,545	1.05%	\$197,099	156,310	\$40,789
Warren Washington	8,007,472 6 945 109	0.59% 0.51%	\$109,491 \$94,965	69,351 79,572	\$40,140 \$15,303
Washington Wayne	6,945,109 10,126,011	0.51% 0.74%	\$94,965 \$138,459	83,390	\$15,393 \$55,069
Westchester	52,408,557	3.83%	\$716,616	485,496	\$231,120
Wyoming	3,947,657	0.29%	\$53,979	34,151	\$19,828
Yates	2,240,613	0.16%	\$30,637	20,223	\$10,414

04 LCM 15 Attachment #2 Estimated Incentives -- FFY 2005

1	2	3	4 Estimated	5 Estimated
	Total Collections	District Percentage	Annual Incentives	Monthly Incentives
District	FFY 2004	to Total	(col. 3 X \$10M)	(col. 4 / 12)
New York State	1,421,620,606	100.00%	\$10,000,000	\$833,333
New York City	525,984,587	37.00%	\$3,699,894	\$308,325
Rest of State	895,636,019	63.00%	\$6,300,106	\$525,008
Albany	25,700,333	1.81%	\$180,782	\$15,065
Allegany	5,747,111	0.40%	\$40,426	\$3,369
Broome Cattaraugus	16,100,739	1.13% 0.69%	\$113,256 \$68,072	\$9,438 \$5,748
Cayuga	9,805,145 8,674,596	0.61%	\$68,972 \$61,019	\$5,746 \$5,085
Chautauqua	14,647,646	1.03%	\$103,035	\$8,586
Chemung	9,426,638	0.66%	\$66,309	\$5,526
Chenango	5,450,624	0.38%	\$38,341	\$3,195
Clinton	8,519,275	0.60%	\$59,926	\$4,994
Columbia	5,690,139	0.40%	\$40,026	\$3,335
Cortland	4,929,540	0.35%	\$34,675	\$2,890
Delaware	4,487,129	0.32%	\$31,563	\$2,630
Dutchess	26,070,526	1.83%	\$183,386	\$15,282
Erie Essex	61,409,467	4.32%	\$431,968	\$35,997
Franklin	3,623,822 5,651,720	0.25% 0.40%	\$25,491 \$39,755	\$2,124 \$3,313
Fulton	6,189,680	0.44%	\$43,540	\$3,628
Genesee	5,657,916	0.40%	\$39,799	\$3,317
Greene	4,969,352	0.35%	\$34,956	\$2,913
Hamilton	476,221	0.03%	\$3,350	\$279
Herkimer	6,715,603	0.47%	\$47,239	\$3,937
Jefferson	12,188,954	0.86%	\$85,740	\$7,145
Lewis	2,737,689	0.19%	\$19,258	\$1,605
Livingston Madison	6,755,765	0.48% 0.38%	\$47,522	\$3,960 \$3,101
Monroe	5,443,026 60,507,338	4.26%	\$38,287 \$425,622	\$3,191 \$35,469
Montgomery	5,299,324	0.37%	\$37,277	\$3,106
Nassau	80,020,533	5.63%	\$562,882	\$46,907
Niagara	18,139,078	1.28%	\$127,594	\$10,633
Oneida	15,176,913	1.07%	\$106,758	\$8,896
Onondaga	42,015,585	2.96%	\$295,547	\$24,629
Ontario	10,652,585	0.75%	\$74,933	\$6,244
Orange	30,841,322	2.17% 0.28%	\$216,945	\$18,079
Orleans Oswego	3,995,961 13,444,874	0.95%	\$28,108 \$94,574	\$2,342 \$7,881
Otsego	5,083,321	0.36%	\$35,757	\$2,980
Putnam	6,877,073	0.48%	\$48,375	\$4,031
Rensselaer	16,082,403	1.13%	\$113,127	\$9,427
Rockland	22,362,004	1.57%	\$157,299	\$13,108
St.Lawrence	11,066,434	0.78%	\$77,844	\$6,487
Saratoga	17,461,289	1.23%	\$122,827 \$101.047	\$10,236
Schenectady Schoharie	14,365,062 3,692,020	1.01% 0.26%	\$101,047 \$25,971	\$8,421 \$2,164
Schuyler	1,726,422	0.12%	\$12,144	\$1,012
Seneca	3,921,197	0.28%	\$27,583	\$2,299
Steuben	9,461,220	0.67%	\$66,552	\$5,546
Suffolk	114,919,217	8.08%	\$808,368	\$67,364
Sullivan	6,673,149	0.47%	\$46,940	\$3,912
Tioga	4,611,833	0.32%	\$32,441	\$2,703
Tompkins	7,040,757	0.50%	\$49,526	\$4,127
Ulster	15,182,449	1.07%	\$106,797 \$58,156	\$8,900 \$4,846
Warren Washington	8,267,637 7,329,366	0.58% 0.52%	\$58,156 \$51,556	\$4,846 \$4,296
Wayne	10,577,461	0.74%	\$74,404	\$6,200
Westchester	55,585,527	3.91%	\$391,001	\$32,583
Wyoming	3,921,432	0.28%	\$27,584	\$2,299
Yates	2,266,576	0.16%	\$15,944	\$1,329